

**CRM-M-18577-2015**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-18577-2015**

**Date of Decision: 13.07.2015**

Nisha

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH**

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?.
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr.Rakesh Dhiman, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

**Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)**

Instant petition has been filed under Section 439 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure (in short, 'the Code') read with Section 482 of the Code for cancellation of bail obtained by respondent Nos.2 and 3 in case FIR No.907 dated 24.11.2014, registered at Police Station Sadar, Gurgaon, District Gurgaon, under Sections 354-A, 498-A, 313, 341 and 323 of the Indian Penal Code.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that respondent Nos.2 and 3, who have been granted regular bail by this Court vide order

**CRM-M-18577-2015**

dated 01.04.2015 passed in CRM-M-8571-2015, are threatening the petitioner not to depose against them and others. Learned counsel has made reference to letter (Annexure P-4) which reveals that the petitioner was present in Court premises at Gurgaon and made call at No.100 on 08.05.2015 to the Police Control Room, Gurgaon qua the threatening given by her in-laws upon which HC Suresh was sent at the spot.

I have considered the contentions of learned counsel for the petitioner.

If the petitioner had received any threat from her in-laws when she was in Court, she should have approached the Court. Otherwise also, regular bail has been granted to respondent Nos.2 and 3, who were in custody since 24.10.2014.

No ground for cancellation of bail of respondent Nos.2 and 3 is made out.

Dismissed.

**13.07.2015**  
parveen kumar

**(Paramjeet Singh)**  
**Judge**