

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

DATE OF DECISION: JUNE 10, 2015

Rajat @ Jojo

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Surinder Mohan Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana,
for the State.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

It is the contention of counsel for the petitioner that no active role has been attributed to the petitioner in the FIR, which has been registered at the instance of the complainant. The two main accused, namely, Ankit Saini and Gurjinder Singh, who had caused injuries upon the person of injured, Sukhpreet Singh, have been released on regular bail by this Court. Counsel contends that since the main accused has been released on bail, the petitioner be also granted the said concession.

Counsel for the respondent-State could not dispute the facts asserted by the petitioner's counsel. However, she states that apart from the present case, there are other three cases pending against him.

Counsel for the petitioner rebuts this contention of counsel for the State and states that in two cases, the petitioner has been acquitted and in one, he is facing trial.

Be that as it may, keeping in view the fact that the two main accused, namely, Ankit Saini and Gurjinder Singh, have already been released on regular bail by this Court, the petitioner is also ordered be released on bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Ambala.

The petition stands allowed accordingly.

June 10, 2015
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE