VIJAY ASIJA
2015.05.29 17:29
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No. M-18544 of 2015(O & M)
Date of Decision:-29.5.2015

Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Rajbir Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for directing respondent No.2 for initiation action on the representation (Annexure P-3) submitted by the petitioner bank against respondent No.4 and further to issue direction to register a criminal case against respondent No.4.

Admittedly, the petitioner has entered into an agreement with respondent No.4, and, therefore, the petitioner has a remedy to invoke the legal remedy as available and discussed in the said agreement. The petitioner, however, submits that the petitioner has submitted a representation (Annexure P-3).

Without commenting upon the right of the petitioner as the petitioner has a remedy in the light of the agreement executed between the parties, respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur, is directed to look into the contents of the representation and to take action in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

May 29, 2015 **(*HARI PAL VERMA*)**
Vijay Asija ***JUDGE***