

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-18523-2015
Date of decision:29.05.2015**

Sunhera Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr.Ravinder Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Petitioner, by way of instant petition under Section 438 read with Section 482 Cr.P.C., seeks directions to the official respondents to issue him a notice of two weeks under Section 160 Cr.P.C. in case the petitioner is wanted in any criminal case because he apprehends false implication at the hands of respondent No.4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner refers to Annexure P-1 to contend that petitioner is having a bonafide apprehension being father-in-law of respondent No.4. He further submits that since son of the petitioner has filed divorce petition against respondent No.4 and as a counter-blast thereto, petitioner apprehends that he being the father-in-law may not be falsely implicated at the instance of respondent No.4.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, respondents No. 2 and 3 are directed that in case petitioner is wanted in any criminal case registered at the instance of respondent No.4, petitioner shall be issued a notice for 10 days under Section 160 Cr.P.C.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the instant petition stands disposed of.

29.05.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE