

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-185 of 2015.
Decided on:-23.09.2015.

Kiran and others

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Dalip Kumar Tuteja, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Arora, DAG, Haryana
for respondent No.1-State.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of F.I.R. No.120 dated 25.3.2014 under Sections 332, 353, 186 and 506 read with Section 34 IPC registered at Police Station Old Subzi Mandi, Rohtak, District Rohtak (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 29.11.2014 (Annexure P-2).

This Court vide order dated 24.2.2015 had directed the parties to appear before learned trial Court to get their statements recorded and the trial Court was directed to submit its report about the genuineness of the compromise as per the statements so recorded.

Pursuant to the aforesaid order, the parties have appeared before learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Rohtak and have got their statements

recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded by the parties, learned Magistrate has submitted her report dated 16.3.2015 to the effect that the compromise has been entered between the parties out of their free will and volition, without any fear, apprehension, undue influence or coercion and the same is voluntary and genuine. The statement of complainant K.S. Dalal, SDO (Op.), Sub-Division, Meham was also recorded on 13.3.2015 wherein he stated that he has compromised the matter with the intervention of the respectable persons of the society.

This fact has not been disputed by learned counsel for the respondent-State.

In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant F.I.R.

Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052* and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, this petition is allowed and F.I.R. No.120 dated 25.3.2014 under Sections 332, 353, 186 and 506 read with Section 34 IPC registered at Police Station Old Subzi Mandi, Rohtak, District Rohtak (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom is quashed, on the basis of compromise dated 29.11.2014 (Annexure P-2).

September 23, 2015
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE