

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

MUKESH KUMAR SALUJA
2015.03.19 19:59
Attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-1849-2015
Date of decision:17.03.2015

Sonu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr.Divya Sharma, Advocate for
Mr.Akash Deep Batra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manoj Kumar Sangwan, DAG, Haryana.

Mr.Rahul Jaswal, Advocate for the complainant.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks bail pending trial in FIR No. 52 dated 26.4.2014 registered under Sections 302/452/147/149 IPC at Police Station Kalayat, District Kaithal.

Notice of motion was issued and pursuant thereto, reply by way of affidavit dated 16.3.2015 filed in the Court today is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no such incident has taken place. Petitioner has been falsely implicated. He further submits that the trial will take long time and the petitioner is entitled for bail pending trial. He places reliance on MLR (Annexure P-2), in support of his contentions. Finally, he prays for allowing the instant petition.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State submits that out of total 19 prosecution witnesses, 5 have already been examined and the

next date of hearing for prosecution evidence is 31.3.2015. He further

MUKESH KUMAR SALUJA
2015.03.19 19:59
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

submits that there are direct and specific allegation against the petitioner, as per his own disclosure statement. Similarly, learned counsel for the complainant, while referring to the statement made by Dr. Ajay Aggarwal (PW-2), submits that injury No.4 proved to be fatal and the same has been attributed to the petitioner, as per his own disclosure statement. Both of them pray for dismissal of the petition.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties at considerable length, after careful perusal of the record of the case and keeping in view the totality of facts and circumstances of the present case, instant one has not been found to be a fit case for bail pending trial, at this stage.

Dismissed.

17.03.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE