

240

Atinderjeet Kaur and another

versus

State of Punjab and others

- ***

Present: Mr. Gurmeet Singh Guri, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mrs. Ritu Punj, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners as they have solemnized marriage contrary to the wishes of the private respondents and are apprehending threat at their instance.

While issuing notice of motion on 29.05.2015, respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar was directed to verify the submissions made in the representation(Annexure P-3) and to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

In response thereto, status report by way of an affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Cantonment, Jalandhar has already been filed and the same is on record.

Learned State counsel, on the basis of status report, submits that the statements of respondents No.4 to 8 have been recorded wherein they have stated that they will not interfere in the matrimonial life of the petitioners and will not cause any harm to them and have also no objection with regard to their marriage. Similarly, statements of both the petitioners have been recorded wherein they have stated that there is no danger to them from the private respondents and they do not require any police protection.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has not disputed the submissions made by learned State counsel.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and also the stand taken in the status report, nothing survives in this petition and same is disposed of accordingly.

September 22, 2015
sonia g.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE