

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

**CRM-M No.18496 of 2014
Date of Decision 23.01.2015**

Suraj and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of UT and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.M.S BEDI

Present: Mr. Diwan S. Adlakha, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S .Toor, APP for U.T. Chandigarh.

M.M.S BEDI, J.(ORAL)

In case of dacoity the parties claimed that the FIR be quashed, matter has been compromised. Though the statement of the parties regarding compromise has been recorded and report sent but in view of the stage of the case that defence evidence is being produced grant of permission to compound the offence at this stage will not be an act of judicial propriety.

This petition is dismissed. However it is observed that on the basis of statements of the parties and the compromised arrived at between the parties, it will be open to the trial Court to grant benefit of doubt to the petitioners or take it the compromise as mitigating circumstances for any relief permissible under law.

Disposed of.

23.01.2015

harsha

**(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE**