

211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18245 of 2015

Date of decision: August 17, 2015

Suman Puri

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.P.S. Sidhu, AAG Punjab.

Mr. R.S. Bajaj, Advocate
for the complainant.

SABINA, J

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.58 dated 26.04.2015, under Sections 307, 377, 323, 34, 498-A, 406, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Basti Bawa Khel, Jalandhar.

While issuing notice of motion, following order was passed by this Court on 28.05.2015:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that petitioner, who is mother-in-law of the complainant, has been falsely implicated in the instant case. In fact, petitioner is living separately from the complainant and her family. There is no allegation against the petitioner to attract Section 307 IPC. Moreover, petitioner has already been arrested by the police and is suffering incarceration.

Notice of motion for 17.08.2015.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join the investigation. In the event of arrest, petitioner shall be released on pre-arrest bail at the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer subject to the following conditions, as envisaged under section 438(2) Cr.P.C:

(i) that the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

(ii) that the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.”

Learned State counsel who is assisted by Assistant Sub Inspector Sukhraj Singh has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for further investigation.

Accordingly, interim bail granted by this Court to the petitioner vide order dated 28.05.2015, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

August 17, 2015
mahavir