

205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18244 of 2015

Date of decision: August 20, 2015

Sukhram Pal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. J.S. Chahal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima, AAG Haryana.

SABINA, J

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.226 dated 28.11.2013, under Sections 148, 149, 302 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Sadar Yamunanagar, District Yamunanagar.

Following order was passed by this Court on 09.07.2015:-

“Mr. Karan Singh, Advocate has appeared on behalf of complainant-Raj Singh and has filed his memo of appearance.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to allowing the complainant to join the proceedings and being impleaded as party to the petition. Ordered accordingly.

The co-accused of the petitioner namely, Ravi Kumar, who was also summoned under Section 319 Cr.P.C has already been allowed anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 08.01.2015.

Learned counsel for the complainant requests for time to produce some documents related to the petitioner.

Posted to 20.08.2015.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to appear before the learned trial Court and in the event of his arrest he will be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing fresh bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate subject to the following conditions:

i) he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

(ii) he shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer; and

(iii) he shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance to the above order, petitioner has surrendered before the trial Court and has furnished the necessary bail bonds.

Accordingly, the interim bail furnished by the petitioner before the trial Court in pursuance to the order dated 09.07.2015, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

August 20, 2015
mahavir