

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18212-2015

Date of Decision: 04.06.2015

Harchand Singh @ Chand

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

Present: Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. T.N. Sarup, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has been accused of commission of offences under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC in FIR No.247 dated 02.12.2008 with Police Station City Khanna.

As per the allegations in the FIR registered at the behest of Karam Singh-complainant, that Santokh Singh had introduced the petitioner as Amar singh who is stated to be owner of the land and as a result thereof an agreement to sell dated December 30, 2006 was entered into of a land measuring 35 bighas 7 biswas situated at village Manvi, Tehsil Malerkotla, District Sangrur, @ ₹10,50,000/- per acre and in lieu thereof, a sum of ₹10,00,000/- was paid to Santokh Singh. The petitioner did not know about pendency of aforementioned FIR and acquired the knowledge only when the police reached at his house. Petitioner is more than 70 years of age.

Mr. T.N. Sarup, Addl.A.G., Punjab, on instructions from ASI Rashpal Singh submits that main accused Santokh Singh is in custody and the petitioner in conspiracy and connivance with Santokh Singh impersonated as Amar Singh son of Kapur Singh without taking him into confidence to sell the land. He further submits that the specimen signatures of the petitioner-Harchand Singh would be required for comparison.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is willing to give specimen signatures as and when called/directed by the police.

Be that as it may, the facts remain that the police is not recover anything from the petitioner, therefore, custodial interrogation of the petitioner would not be necessary. In view of the above, this petition is allowed with the observation that petitioner shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Khanna. However, he shall abide by all the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

June 04, 2015
sukhpreet

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19047-2015

Date of Decision: 04.06.2015

Kulbhushan alias Kulbhushan Gupta alias Bhaiya ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

Present: Mr. Kamal Narula, Advocate,
 for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has approached this Court for seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioner in a case registered against him vide FIR No.49 dated 25.04.2015 under Sections 380, 447, 427 and 506 IPC at Police Station Ferozepur Cantt, District Ferozepur, at the instance of complainant who is nonetheless but the tenant of the petitioner.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner for some time, this Court is not inclined to interfere.

Learned counsel for the petitioner on instruction from his client submits that in case the petitioner surrenders before the trial court, his bail application may be decided on the same day.

Prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner appears to be fair and justified. In view of the above, this petition is disposed of. In case the petitioner surrenders before the trial court and submits an application for regular bail, same shall be decided by it on the same day.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

June 04, 2015

sukhpreet

CR Nos.3888, 3890 and 3898 of 2015

Pawan Kumar vs. The Kurukshetra Central Co-op. Bank Ltd.

Present: Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * *

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner was not served in respect of proceedings initiated under Section 8 of the Haryana Agricultural Credit Operations And Miscellaneous Provisions (Banks) Act, 1973 amended in 1978 an ex parte decree was passed vide decree dated December 10, 2014. On filing of application seeking setting aside the ex parte order/judgment, the concerned court rejected the same and in pursuance thereof an attachment order dated April 28, 2015 (Annexure P-4) was issued. He submits that petitioner(s) is/are willing to deposit 50% of the decreetal amount within a period of two weeks from today.

Notice of motion for 21.07.2015.

In case, the petitioner(s) deposits 50% of the decreetal amount within a period of two weeks from today, the impugned order dated April 28, 2015 (Annexure P-4) be kept in abeyance and on failure of deposit the same, the impugned order shall revive and the concerned authority shall be at liberty to take measures to recover the amount.

A copy of this order be placed on the files of connected cases.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

June 04, 2015
sukhpreet

CWP No.12172 of 2015

Sanjay vs. Haryana Vidhan Sabha Secretariate and anr.

Present: Mr. Manish Dadwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * *

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the letter of interview (Annexure P-3) dated 12.05.2015 does not bear any date of despatch but was received by the petitioner at his address of Hissar, on 29.05.2015 at about 3.15 pm, which was the date of interview. Therefore, he could not appear in the interview actively. In support of his averments, learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon the judgment of this Court rendered in a case titled as *Anil Kumar versus Maharishi Dayanand University*, 1993(3)SCT 592.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawria, DAG, Haryana, who is present in court accepts notice on behalf of the State. She is directed to apprise the court as to whether the petitioner in such circumstances can be interviewed in case the State has not finalized the selection process.

To come up on 08.06.2015.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to supply three copies of paper-book to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

A copy of this order be given to learned State counsel under the signatures of the Reader of this Court.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

June 04, 2015

sukhpreet

CR No.3900 of 2015

Amit Lamba vs. Sunder Lal and anr.

Present: Mr. Survir Sehgal, Advocate, and
Mr. Daman Dhir, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * *

Learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn the attention of this Court to the cross-examination of defendant. According to him, the defendant has unequivocally admitted his signatures at three points but denied his hand-writing on the endorsement though he is volunteered to give his specimen signatures. Accordingly, an application (Annexure P-5) dated 22.01.2015 was moved which has been dismissed on the premises that it has been filed belatedly.

Notice of motion for 01.07.2015.

Notice re: stay as well.

Process dasti.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

June 04, 2015
sukhpreet

CRM-M-19080 of 2015

Kaur Singh and ors. vs. State of Punjab and ors.

Present: Mr. A.S. Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

* * *

In the present petition, the prayer is for quashing of FIR
on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion for 10.07.2015.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

June 04, 2015
sukhpreet

CWP No.12159 of 2015

Deepak Kumar and ors. vs. State of Haryana and ors.

Present: Mr. Gautam Diwan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * *

Adjourned to 01.07.2015.

To be heard alongwith CWP No.11796 of 2015.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

June 04, 2015
sukhpreet

CRM-M No.19036 of 2015

Sanjiv Kumar @ Sanju @ Kaife vs. State of Punjab

Present: Mr.S.S. Gill, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * *

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner could not appear on March 04, 2015 before the trial Court and resultantly non-bailable warrants of the petitioner have been issued. Though the petitioner had moved an application for anticipatory bail on March 24, 2015 but the same has been dismissed.

Notice of motion for 07.07.2015.

In the meantime, petitioner is directed to surrender before the trial Court/Duty Magistrate on 09.06.2015 in such an eventuality the non-bailable warrant issued against the petitioner shall remain in abeyance. The trial Court shall accept the fresh bail bonds to be furnished by the petitioner and while doing so, it may impose any other condition that it may deem fit in the circumstances of the case so as to obviate any chances of future default.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

June 04, 2015
sukhpreet

CWP No.12178 of 2015

Jagdeep Singh Gill and anr. vs. State of Punjab and ors.

Present: Mr. R.P.S. Bara, Advocate, and
Mr. Gagneshwar Walia, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

* * *

Adjourned to 22.09.2015.

To be heard alongwith CWP No.3450 of 2015.

Interim order in the same terms as in CWP No.3450 of
2015.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

June 04, 2015
sukhpreet

CWP No. of 2015

vs.

Present: Mr., Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * *

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

June 04, 2015
sukhpreet

CWP No. of 2015

vs.

Present: Mr., Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * *

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

June 04, 2015
sukhpreet

CWP No. of 2015

vs.

Present: Mr., Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * *

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

June 04, 2015
sukhpreet

CWP No. of 2015

vs.

Present: Mr., Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * *

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

June 04, 2015
sukhpreet

CWP No. of 2015

vs.

Present: Mr., Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * *

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

June 04, 2015
sukhpreet

CWP No. of 2015

vs.

Present: Mr., Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * *

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

June 04, 2015
sukhpreet

CWP No. of 2015

vs.

Present: Mr., Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * *

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

June 04, 2015
sukhpreet

CWP No. of 2015

vs.

Present: Mr., Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * *

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

June 04, 2015
sukhpreet

CWP No. of 2015

vs.

Present: Mr., Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * *

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

June 04, 2015
sukhpreet

CWP No. of 2015

vs.

Present: Mr., Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * *

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

June 04, 2015
sukhpreet

