

201

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.18220 of 2014
Date of Decision: 30.06.2015**

**Ishwar Singh and another
Vs
State of U.T., Chandigarh.**

**.....Petitioners
.....Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajesh Pal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. A.S. Sullar, Addl. P.P.
for U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. Sandeep Gahlawat, Advocate
for complainant.

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioners are parents-in-law of daughter (Kavita) of the complainant. The matter was unsuccessfully tried before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court. It has been alleged by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the allegations made against the petitioners are general in nature and do not stand to the test of time, place and manner of occurrence.

On 26.05.2014, this Court passed following order:-

“Counsel would submit that the present petitioners are the father-in-law and mother-in-law of the daughter of the complainant. It is further contended that the allegations made against the present petitioners with regard to demand of dowry

are general and vague in nature. That apart, counsel submits that the petitioners are willing to join investigation and would also return the gold articles that have been entrusted to them at the time of the marriage and as have been described in the FIR.

Notice of motion, returnable for 25.07.2014.

In the meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join investigation.

In the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/ Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and they shall remain bound by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

In pursuance to the aforesaid order, petitioners have already joined investigation. This fact has been admitted by Mr. A.S. Sullar, Addl. P.P, U.T., Chandigarh, however, he submits that the petitioners have not facilitated recovery of gold ornaments. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the alleged gold articles have already been recovered. Even otherwise, the alleged articles, whether forms part of Istridhan or not, would be a debatable issue at trial. In view of the aforesaid order dated 26.05.2014 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

Disposed of.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

June 30, 2015

SwarnjitS