

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18099-2015

Date of Decision: December 01, 2015

Raghu Nath Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Snehdip Oberoy, Advocate, for
Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sanjay Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sumit Gupta, Advocate,
for respondent No.5.

.....

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?***
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?***
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?***

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(Oral)

The case has been called thrice since morning. Sh. Snehdip Oberoy, Advocate, for Mr. Chanderhas, Advocate, for the petitioners, has put in appearance and despite repeated requests, he has failed to argue the matter. The only submission of the proxy counsel is that the case be adjourned to some other date, which cannot be accepted in view of the fact that the case was filed on 26.05.2015, and thereafter, it is the third date and the arguments are not being advanced by the counsel for the petitioners.

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of a direction to respondent Nos. 2 to 4 to take appropriate action on the representation, dated 04.05.2015, (Annexure P-4) submitted by the petitioners to Superintendent of Police, Jhajjar.

After hearing learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to Superintendent of Police, Jhajjar, to decide the representation (Annexure P-4) in accordance with law within two months of the receipt of the copy of the present order.

CRM-M-18099-2015

-3-

The Registry is directed to send the copy of the present order to the Superintendent of Police, Jhajjar, immediately.

December 01, 2015
apurva

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE