

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

FAO No. 2296 of 1995
Date of Decision: September 16, 2015

The State of Punjab

..... Appellant

Versus

M/s Sadhu Singh and Co. and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Rahul Verma, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Arvind Mittal, Advocate
for the respondents.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (Oral)

The challenge in the present appeal is to the order dated 2.5.1995 whereby the objections filed against the application making the award dated 31.1.1992 as rule of court has not been pressed by the respondent-Contractor.

Mr. Rahul Verma, AAG., Punjab submits that the arbitrator had passed the award in favour of the firm and against the contractor and an application making the award as rule of the Court had been filed and the contractor filed the objections during the pendency of the application. The objections were not opposed and the award was made rule of court.

In view of such situation, no appeal would lie against the order whereby objections have not been pressed.

The appeal is therefore not maintainable. Accordingly the same is dismissed.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

September 16, 2015
archana