

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-No. 18071 of 2015

Date of decision: 10.07.2015

Prem Chand and another **-----Petitioner (s)**
V/s

State of Punjab and another **-----Respondent(s)**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. S.K.S. Bedi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that though the prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No. 100, dated 12.07.2014, for offence punishable under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC, registered at Mahila Police Station, Patiala, and subsequent proceedings till framing of charge, vide order dated 14.01.2015 and dismissal of revision petition vide order dated 24.02.2015, but the petitioner would be satisfied if the presence of the petitioners are exempted during trial. He further submits that petitioners are aged in-laws of the complainant and the marriage took place on 27.04.2008 between Anil Kumar and respondent No.2, namely Vandna Rani.

Considering the fact that the present one is matrimonial dispute and the petitioners are the aged in-laws, the present petition is disposed of with an observation that the personal

appearance of the petitioner before the Trial Court shall remain exempted subject to the following conditions:-

- i. petitioner shall be represented through counsel;
- ii. shall not delay/stall the trial proceedings;
- iii. shall not dispute his identity as an accused;
- iv. shall have no objection if the prosecution evidence is recorded in his absence but in the presence of his counsel;
- v. shall appear before the Trial Court as and when required by the Trial Court;
- Vi. any other condition which the learned Trial Court may impose.

July 10, 2015
Anjal

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE