

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-18045 of 2015
Date of Decision:-17.8.2015**

Rahul @ Moti

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. J.S. Saneta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, Deputy A.G., Haryana.

Mr. K.S. Dhanora, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer in the present petition is for quashing of FIR No.666 dated 31.12.2012, under Sections 498-A, 406, 323 and 506 read with Section 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Gharaunda, District Karnal (Annexure P-1) and all further proceedings arising out of the said FIR, on the basis of Panchayati Compromise dated 20.5.2015 (Annexure P-2).

Vide order dated 28.5.2015, this Court has directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded

and the learned Magistrate was directed to forward a report about the genuineness of the compromise to this Court.

In compliance of the order dated 28.5.2015, the parties have appeared before the learned Magistrate on 13.7.2015 for getting their statements recorded.

The report dated 16.7.2015 received from the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Karnal reveals that the compromise has been effected between the parties with their free consent and without any fear or pressure.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2 does not dispute the fact of compromise effected between the parties.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforesaid factual position, rather admit the factum of compromise effected between the parties.

Heard.

Since the parties have entered into a compromise and learned Magistrate has recorded their statements followed by his report, continuation of the trial arising out from the impugned FIR and consequential proceedings would be sheer abuse of the process of law since chances of the conviction are bleak.

Keeping in view the factum of compromise effected between the parties and the law laid down by this Court in the case of ***Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052 (P & H)***, this petition is accepted and FIR No.666 dated 31.12.2012, under Sections 498-A, 406, 323 and 506 read with Section 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Gharaunda, District Karnal and the

consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua petitioner.

The petition is disposed of.

August 17, 2015
Vijay Asija

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE