

120

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.17916 of 2015  
Date of Decision: 28.05.2015

VIDYA DEVI

.....Petitioner

Vs

UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH & ORS

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH**

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Gupta, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

**RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that information in respect of cognizable offence was given to the Station House Officer, Manimjara vide complaint dated 19.09.2014 (Annexure P-3) and thereafter on seeking his pathetic attitude representation was moved before respondent No.2 on 09.03.2015.

According to learned counsel, compliance in terms of Section 154 Cr.P.C., stands complied. He cites **Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1)** and states that whenever information in respect of cognizable offence is brought to the notice of Police, the Police can embark upon inquiry for a limited purpose in order to ascertain whether cognizable offence is made out or not? In the

event of finding that no cognizable offence is made out, then in terms of condition No.2 of aforesaid judgment necessary communication is required to be given to the complainant within a period of seven days.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Varun Sharma, A.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of official respondents. Let requisite copies of petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioner during the course of the day.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of filing reply at this stage.

In view of nature of allegation and prayer made in the petition, respondents No.2 can be obligated to look into the grievance of the petitioner in view of complaints (Annexures P-3 and P-4) made by him, follow guidelines framed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Lalita Kumari's case** (supra) and to act in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

May 28, 2015

*Atik*

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**