

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-17904 of 2015

Date of decision: 07.07.2015

Shallu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Parvesh Sachdeva, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in case FIR No.20, dated 07.03.2014, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 419 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station City Fazilka, District Fazilka

It is contended that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. Even, she was not named in the inquiry conducted by the police before registration of the present FIR. The co-accused of the petitioner has already been enlarged on bail by this Court, vide order dated 20.03.2015. The petitioner is in custody since 17.07.2014.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the

bail and states that the challan stands presented; charges have been framed, however, no prosecution witness has been examined so far.

Heard.

Keeping in view the fact that its being a magisterial trial, the custody period and the fact that no prosecution witness has been examined so far, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future. Without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, subject to her furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

07.07.2015

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE