

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

CRM-M- 17832 of 2015

Date of decision: 14.08.2015

Ankit Sehrawat

----Petitioner(s)

V/s

State of U.T. Chandigarh & another

-----Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Naresh Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Ashima Mor, Advocate for U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. Bhupander Ghanghas, Advocate for complainant-respondent No.2.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of FIR No.18, dated 12.01.2014, for offence under Sections 354 and 309 IPC, registered at Police Station, Sector-11 U.T. Chandigarh, on the basis of compromise dated 15.12.2014 (Annexure P-2).

This Court vide order dated 28.05.2015, had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court to get their statements recorded and the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was directed to submit its report about the genuineness of the compromise, as per the statements of the parties so recorded.

Accordingly, the parties have appeared before learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Chandigarh and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded by the parties, learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Chandigarh, has submitted his report dated 16.07.2015, to the effect that the compromise entered between the parties is genuine, voluntary and the same has been effected without any coercion and undue influence.

Mr. Bhupander Ghanghas, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of complainant/respondent No.2 and does not dispute the factum of compromise.

In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR *qua* the petitioner.

Accordingly, following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 (P&H) and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and others**, (2012)10 SCC 303, this petition is allowed and FIR No.18, dated 12.01.2014, for offence under Sections 354 and 309 IPC, registered at Police Station, Sector-11 U.T. Chandigarh, on the basis of compromise dated 15.12.2014 (Annexure P-2), is hereby quashed.

August 14, 2015

Anjal

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**