

206

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17743 of 2015

Date of decision: August 24, 2015

Surinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Ms. Ruchi Sekhri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.P.S. Sidhu, AAG Punjab.

Ms. Seema Dharwal, Advocate
for the complainant.

SABINA, J

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.49 dated 14.05.2014, under Sections 323, 324, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC' for short) (Section 307 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Nehianwala, District Bathinda.

While issuing notice of motion, following order was passed by this Court on 27.05.2015:-

“It is submitted that the FIR was initially registered on 14.05.2014 at No. 49 in Police Station Nehianwala, District Bathinda, under Sections 323, 324, 148 all read with Section 149 of the Indian Penal Code (IPC for short). The petitioner was admitted to bail by the arresting officer. However, on

11.04.2015, the offence under Section 307 of the IPC was added. Since the offence under Section 307 of the IPC was added one year later, for this very short reason notice of motion is being issued.

Notice of motion to the respondent be issued for 24.08.2015.

However, in the meantime, the arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed subject to the following conditions:

(i) He shall make himself available for investigation as and when required to do so.

(ii) He will not leave the country without the prior permission of the Court.

(iii) He will not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police official.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that now parties have amicably settled their dispute.

Learned State counsel on instructions from Assistant Sub Inspector Sandhura Singh has submitted that petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for further investigation.

Accordingly, interim bail granted to the petitioner by this Court vide order dated 27.05.2015, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

August 24, 2015

mahavir