

121 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-1768 of 2015

Date of Decision: January 19, 2015

Renu Bal and another

.... Petitioners

vs.

Vivek Kumar Sharma

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. S.S. Khaira, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

The learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Pathankot, vide order dated 17.09.2013 (Annexure P-1) has awarded the interim maintenance @ ₹2,000/- per month to the petitioner-wife and ₹1000/- to the petitioner's daughter assessing that the income of the respondent-husband is ₹7,039/- per month. The said order was upheld by the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Pathankot, vide judgment dated 20.12.2014 (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner-wife contends that the respondent-husband is working as Manager in a Hotel. However, there is no proof for the same.

Learned counsel for the petitioner-wife has further argued that the respondent-husband has his own orchard. However, no document in this regard has been annexed with the petition that he

has his own orchard.

Therefore, when the income of the respondent-husband is assessed @ ₹7,039/- per month, the interim maintenance @ ₹2,000/- per month to the wife and ₹1000/- to the daughter cannot be called inadequate.

Dismissed.

However, the observations made by this Court while disposing of the present petition shall not be taken into consideration when the case is disposed of on merits.

January 19, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE