

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH***

***CRM No.M-17655 of 2014 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 05.05.2015***

*Govind & others*

*... Petitioners*

*Versus*

*State of Haryana & another*

*... Respondents*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.**

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bhateja, Advocate for  
Mr. Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Deepender Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

.....

**TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).**

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.127 dated 21.02.2011 under Sections 419/420/467/468/471/120-B IPC and Sections 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Gurgaon City, District Gurgaon.

Since quashing was sought on the basis of compromise, this Court on 14.11.2014, had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate concerned for recording of their statements and a report as regards veracity of the compromise was called for.

Placed on record is a report dated 05.01.2015 of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Gurgaon and a perusal of the same would reveal that the statements of the complainant-Madan Lal Grover (respondent No.2 herein) as also accused (petitioners herein) have been duly recorded and it has been opined that compromise that has been entered into between the parties is without any pressure or coercion.

In the report, it has also been mentioned that co-accused, namely, Sahib Singh s/o Sadhu Ram (non petitioner) has evaded the process of law and has been declared proclaimed offender vide order dated 31.10.2011 by JMIC, Gurgaon.

Counsel appearing for the complainant/respondent No.2 submits in Court today that he would have no objection to the FIR being quashed on the basis of compromise.

A Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** has taken a view that in appropriate cases this Court in exercise of its powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C. can intervene and bring to an end the criminal prosecution in the light of compromise that may have been effected between the parties, even in relation to non compoundable offences.

Adverting back to the facts of the present case, since the dispute between the parties was essentially civil in nature and the same has been amicably resolved, it would be a fit case for this Court to intervene in exercise of its powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to bring to an end the criminal prosecution on the basis of the impugned FIR.

For the reasons recorded above, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.127 dated 21.02.2011 under Sections 419/420/467/468/471/120-B IPC and Sections 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Gurgaon City, District Gurgaon and all proceedings emanating therefrom qua the present petitioners stand quashed.

Petition allowed in the aforesaid terms.

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)**  
**JUDGE**

**05.05.2015**