

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

**CRM-M No. 17632 of 2014
Date of Decision 09.01.2015**

Rakesh and another

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.M.S BEDI

Present: Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Sidhu, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. G.S.Hooda, Advocate,
for complainant.

M.M.S.BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Complainant Mukesh alongwith another Mukesh (since deceased) had allegedly been hit by a car. The petitioners have been involved in the case on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused Rohtash. The petitioners were allegedly sitting in the car used by co-accused Rohtash while intentionally hitting the deceased and the complainant. No doubt, the petitioners are involved on the basis of circumstantial evidence but it will be pre-mature to express any opinion regarding the role of the petitioners as member of unlawful assembly.

I do not deem it appropriate to divulge on the evidence.

At this stage, counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw this petition with liberty to the petitioners to approach this Court again after some evidence is produced.

Disposed of as withdrawn with the abovesaid liberty.

09.01.2015
harsha

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE