

112

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.17565 of 2015
Date of Decision: 26.05.2015

GURMUKH SINGH

.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Jitender Singh Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks protection of life and liberty from being invaded by private respondents No.5 to 12.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner is an old man of about 80 years of age and owner in possession of landed property qua which his suit was decreed and appeal filed by the private respondents was dismissed by the Lower Appellate Court.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Arshdeep S. Kler, D.A.G., accepts notice on behalf of official respondents. Let requisite copies of petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioner

during the course of the day.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of filing reply at this stage.

In view of nature of allegation and prayer made in the petition, for enforcement of civil rights, petitioner may have the remedy by approaching competent Court, but in respect of protection qua life and liberty, the respondent No.3 can be obligated to look into the representation filed by petitioner dated 01.05.2015 (Annexure P-1).

Respondent No.3 may devise lawful mechanism of extracting truth and in case he comes out with some substance in the claim of petitioner, then needful be done in accordance with law.

May 26, 2015

Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE