

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-17554-2015
Date of decision:26.05.2015**

Kareena and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr.Munish Puri, Advocate for the petitioners.**RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)**

Both the petitioners are present in the Court and identified by their counsel.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 is minor. Both the petitioners have married each other of their own free will but against the wishes of private respondents No.4 to 6. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that apprehending danger to their life and liberty at the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 6, the petitioners moved a representation dated 22.5.2015 (Annexure P-5) before the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Pathankot, but no action thereon has been taken so far.

Since petitioner No.1 is minor as per Annexure P-1, both the petitioners are directed to appear before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot, who shall get the age of petitioner No.1 verified and thereafter, consider the threat perception raised by the petitioners, vide their representation dated 22.5.2015 (Annexure P-5), dispassionately and expeditiously, passing an appropriate order, as warranted by law, so as to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 6.

The Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot, shall also be at

liberty to pass the order granting protection to the petitioners even during the pendency of the enquiry regarding the verification of age of the petitioners, in case he feels satisfied that petitioners are facing imminent danger to their life and liberty at the hands of private respondents.

However, lest this order is misunderstood, it is clarified that this order shall not mean that the petitioners had reached the age of marriage, as required by the law applicable to them, at the time of their marriage or that their marriage is legal as per the relevant provisions of law. It is so said because neither it is the issue involved in the present petition nor this Court is putting its seal of approval on the validity of marriage of the petitioners. In fact, it is the domain of the matrimonial Court of competent jurisdiction, to decide the validity of the marriage and that too on the basis of the pleadings taken and the evidence led by the parties in the given circumstances of each case.

It is also made clear that this order shall not entitle the petitioners for any protection against their arrest or continuance of any criminal proceedings, if they are found involved in the commission of any cognizable offence. In case the petitioners had committed any offence, the law will take its own course.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the present petition stands disposed of.

26.05.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE