

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM no. M-21574 of 2012(O&M)

Date of Decision : 13.03.2015

Gagan Pal @ Gagan and others

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

Present : Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Pawan Girdhar, Addl. AG, Haryana

Mr. J.S.Chahal, Advocate for respondent no.2.

MAHESH GROVER, J.

Learned State counsel contends that charges have been framed and the matter is now fixed for recording of prosecution evidence.

If that be so then, I am of the view that prayer for quashing of the FIR cannot be answered at this stage, particularly when the petitioner has a remedy to file a revision against the order framing of charge.

Be that as it may considering the information given by the learned State counsel, instant petition is dismissed leaving the petitioner to his remedies in law.

March 13, 2015
rekha

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE