

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No.M- 17501 of 2015

Date of decision : 30.07.2015

Hardev Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Karanvir Singh Khehar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. V.P.S. Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Anmol Partap Singh Mann, Advocate
for the complainant.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

The petitioner seeks regular bail in FIR No. 199 dated 31.07.2014, registered at Police Station Samana, District Patiala, under Sections 148, 302, 307, 323, 324, 325, 326, 341 and 506 read with Section 149 IPC.

Heard.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the role attributed to the petitioner is that he was armed with a *soti* and had inflicted injury on the back of the complainant and there is a cross-case. He further urges that the investigation stage is over and challan has been filed and charge has been framed but application under Section 319 Cr.P.C. was moved and the trial will be delayed. It was urged that the petitioner is in custody for almost a year.

Learned counsel appearing for the complainant has opposed the bail application and has urged that the injury inflicted by the petitioner had resulted in a fracture in the ribs of complainant Surat Singh. He states that the petitioner had also inflicted injuries to Satnam Singh and Parkash Singh.

A perusal of the record shows that there is a cross-case registered against the complainant side as well. The role attributed to the petitioner is that he had caused injury on the back of the complainant Surat Singh and two others but not to the deceased.

The petitioner is in custody for about one year. The trial would take time to complete. Without commenting on the merits of the case and considering the role played by the him, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing adequate bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

30.07.2015

sunil

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**