

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

203

**Criminal Misc. No.M-17451 of 2015(O&M)
Date of decision: October 13, 2015**

Des Raj and others

....Petitioners

versus

The State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. Gorakh Nath, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mrs. Ritu Punj, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and nothing is to be recovered from them whereas learned State counsel submits that the petitioners have joined investigation but no recovery has been effected from them and main accused is still absconding.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has also brought to notice the statement of the prosecutrix recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. at the first instance wherein nothing has been mentioned with regard to articles which were alleged to be taken away by the complainant and no offence is made out against them.

The present petition has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners and the facts with regard to recovery of articles will be considered during trial.

Since the petitioners have joined the investigation and as such, the interim order dated 27.05.2015 passed by this Court is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of.

October 13, 2015
sonia g.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE