

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M- No. 174 of 2015

Date of decision: February 09, 2015

Jyoti Devi and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Ms. Meenakshi Poswal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Addl. AG. Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed for issuance of direction to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect lives and liberty of the petitioners as they have solemnized marriage contrary to the wishes of private respondents and are apprehending threat at their instance.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Rajender Singh, submits that the private respondents have no objection to the marriage of the petitioners and the petitioners are not in need of any protection now.

In view of the statement made by learned State counsel the present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

09.02.2015

anju rani