

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CM-13613-C-2015 &
RSA No.884 of 1994
Date of decision: 09.12.2015

Gurtej Singh and another

... Appellants

Versus

Ranjit Singh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Sudhir Mittal, Advocate for the appellants.
Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate for respondent No.1.
Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate
for respondents No.2 & 3.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties submits that as the dispute between the parties stands amicably resolved, nothing substantive survives in the appeal and the same be dismissed as having become infructuous.

Accordingly, the application is allowed and the appeal is taken on board. And, the same is dismissed as having become infructuous.

December 9, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge