

247 CRM-M-17431-2014

KUNAL SHARAD VS STATE OF HARYANA & ANR

Present : Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate with
Mr. Rohit Ahuja, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.S.S.Pannu, DAG, Haryana.

Mr.Bijender Dhankhar, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Ajay Tewari,J.(Oral)

This is a petition for quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise.

Reply on behalf of respondent No.2 filed. Copy supplied. Learned counsel for the respondent states that as per this reply the compromise fell through because as per the same there were some conditions which the petitioner had not fulfilled. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the compromise Annexure P-2 is unconditional.

Be that as it may once one party denies that the compromise has taken place, it would be appropriate that this petition be heard on merits and petitioner may seek remedy against the complainants but the present petition cannot be allowed. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in this event he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file one on merits.

This prayer is allowed.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

December 22, 2015
sunita

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE