

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-17324 of 2015

Date of decision: 14.07.2015

Satyawan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. K.D.S. Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manoj Dhankar, AAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Rajender Parsad.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in case FIR No.430, dated 27.04.2013, registered under Sections 147, 148, 149, 307, 506 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, at Police Station Sadar Hisar, District Hisar.

It is contended that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. Subsequently, on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused Sandeep, he has been falsely implicated in the present case. No fire arm injury has been attributed to the present petitioner. He is in custody since 28.04.2013.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel submits that the there are specific allegations against the petitioner in the FIR. The

petitioner was very much present at the spot and the gun used in the commission of crime belong to the petitioner, therefore, the active participation of the petitioner cannot be ruled out.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

There are specific allegations against the petitioner in the FIR. The petitioner has also received injury and he was treated at Metro Hospital, Oklana. The presence of the petitioner is made out on the spot. Keeping in view the nature of offence and the role of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant the concession of bail sought at this stage.

Dismissed.

14.07.2015

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE