

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

Criminal Misc. No.M-17319 of 2015

Date of decision: October 16, 2015

Gurpreet Singh @ Gopi

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mrs. Ritu Punj, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.91 dated 07.09.2010 registered under Sections 302, 392, 120B of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of Arms Act at Police Station Bhogpur, District Jalandhar during the pendency of the trial.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for the last more than four years and seven months and all material witnesses have been examined. The FIR is of the year 2010 and the petitioner is facing agony of trial since then.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that out of total thirty-one prosecution witnesses, nineteen have already been examined, seven have been given up and the date fixed before the trial Court is 23.10.2015 for recording the statements of remaining five prosecution witnesses.

Keeping in view that the date fixed before the trial Court i.e. 23.10.2015 and the statements of remaining prosecution witnesses are likely to be recorded on that date, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the trial Court to make all efforts to record the statements of the prosecution witnesses and to conclude the trial preferably within a period of three months.

October 16, 2015
sonia g.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE