

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-17311-2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.08.2015

Simranpreet Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

- 1. *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?***
- 2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?***
- 3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?***

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurveer Sidhu, AAG, Punjab
for the State.

R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed for directing respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to protect the lives and personal liberty of petitioners and also directing respondent Nos.4 to 7 not to interfere in their personal lives and liberty.

None has appeared for the petitioners. Even the process fee was not filed.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

However, the age of the boy on the date of marriage was about 20 years and there seems to be violation of the provisions of

the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006.

The Commissioner of Police-respondent No.2 be directed to look into this aspect of the matter and take appropriate steps, in accordance with law.

(R.P. Nagrath)
Judge

17.08.2015
sk