

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRM M-17299 of 2015
Date of Decision: May 25, 2015

Tinku

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

Present: Mr. Sandeep Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 204, dated 11.09.2014, under Sections 302, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Khol, Rewari.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner is not named in the FIR. Learned counsel further contends that two of the co-accused, namely, Anand @ Sunny @ Bhola and Veerpal @ Sonu @ Sanda, who were also involved in the present case, have been acquitted by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Rewari, vide order dated 30.03.2015. On that basis, the petitioner is an innocent person and he should be granted concession of anticipatory bail.

I have considered the contentions raised by learned counsel

for the petitioner.

Admittedly, the petitioner is evading the process of law and has not even joined the investigation. Keeping in view the nature of offence and the fact that the petitioner has not submitted to the process of law, no ground for grant of anticipatory bail is made out.

At this stage, learned counsel for petitioner states that he may be permitted to withdraw the instant petition. Learned counsel further states that petitioner may be permitted to surrender before the Court.

Dismissed as withdrawn. However, the petitioner will be at liberty to surrender either before the Court or before the police.

May 25, 2015
vkd

[Paramjeet Singh]
Judge