

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17236-2015

Date of decision: 15.12.2015

Archana and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate and
Mr. Harish Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

Dr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Reply by way of affidavit dated 09.12.2015 filed in the Court today, is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners did not get their statements recorded to the effect that they do not require any protection. He further submits that petitioners are still apprehending danger to their life and liberty at the hands of private respondent No.4.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State refers to the statements suffered by the petitioners No.2 & 3 to the effect that they do not require the protection any further.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and without entering into the abovesaid controversy, present petition is disposed of, with

a direction to the Superintendent of Police, Kaithal-respondent No.2 to look into the matter, consider the threat perception raised by the petitioners and pass appropriate order thereon at an early date but in any case within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Superintendent of Police, Kaithal-respondent No.2 is further directed to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondent No.4 or any other person on his behalf.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, present petition stands disposed of.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

15.12.2015
vishnu