

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-17227-2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 02.07.2015

Sanjida and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

- 1. *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?***
- 2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?***
- 3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?***

Present: Mr. Mohd. Arshad, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana
for the State.

Mr. Vandana Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)

When the matter was listed on 25.05.2015, the following
order was passed:

"Notice of motion, returnable for 02.07.2015.

*Ms. Vandana Sharma, Advocate has put in appearance
on behalf of respondent No.6 and states that petitioner
No.1 is already married and there is no divorce from the*

first marriage.

In the meanwhile, respondent No.2 shall ensure that life and liberty of the petitioners is not breached.”

Learned counsel for the parties are at *ad idem* that both the petitioners were sent to the protection home at Nuh where they stayed from 02.06.2015 to 16.06.2015. They were produced before the competent officer and affidavits were filed by the families of both the parties and accordingly they were released.

Petitioner No.1 is stated to be present in person. Learned counsel for petitioners submits that whereabouts of petitioner No.2 are not known. Petitioner No.1 has made the statement that for the past about five days she is living with her parents.

Therefore, no further indulgence of this Court is required.

Disposed of.

(R.P. Nagrath)
Judge

02.07.2015
sk