

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-1721 of 2015
Date of decision : 10.03.2015**

Gurmeet Singh @ Kala

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Rajbir Attri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. V.P.S. Sidhu, Asst. AG, Punjab.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.81 dated 15.10.2012, registered under Section 307/353/186/34 IPC and 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act, Police Station Rureke Kalan, District Barnala.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that several cases have been registered against the petitioner at the behest of the ruling party leaders and the allegations against him are that he had fired at the police party. It was urged that two FIRs were registered regarding the incident. He further urges that the bail had been allowed to the co-accused by the Sessions Judge and the petitioner

has already been allowed bail in FIR No.271, registered on the same day. It was urged that the petitioner was involved in 43 cases by the Punjab Police and he has been acquitted in 29 cases and he was convicted only in one case in 2010. He states that 13 cases are pending and he is on bail in 9 cases and four cases were pending trial wherein he is in custody. He further urges that the petitioner is in custody since October, 2012 and till date no witness had been examined and the trial will take time.

3. The petition has been opposed by the State counsel on the ground that petitioner is involved in number of cases.

4. The custody certificate dated 23.01.2015 placed on record by the State shows that the petitioner is in custody since 25.10.2012. The pending cases against the petitioner are also listed in the custody certificate and it also refers to the acquittal and the convictions in other cases.

5. The allegations against the petitioner are that he had fired at the police party with the intention to kill. The petitioner is shown to have been involved in number of cases. He is already known to the police. He was not arrested on the spot. The trial is taking long. Not a single witness has been examined till date. Considering the above, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

10.03.2015
sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE