

**234 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-21165 of 2012

Date of Decision: February 19, 2015

Dr. Mrs. Vijay Laxmi Khanna

.... Petitioner

vs.

Dr. Palas Ram Sharma and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. S.K.S. Bedi, Advocate for respondent No.1.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

None has come present for the petitioner.

Challenged in the present petition is the order dated 02.03.2012 passed by learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Chandigarh, vide which the charge sheet against respondent No.1 was set aside and the trial court was directed to rehear the parties on the question of charge and pass an appropriate order in accordance with law. It happens in a complaint case filed by the present revisionist.

Prima facie, I find no illegality in the impugned order dated 02.03.2012 passed by learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Chandigarh. However, the petitioner shall have the opportunity to address fresh arguments at the time of framing of charge.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

February 19, 2015
sarita