IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Date of decision:- March 23, 2015

1. CRM No. M-17186 of 2014

Kamaljit Singh Saini and another

...Petitioners...

versus

Gurpinder Kaur

...Respondent...

2. CRM No.M-26035 of 2014

Gurmesh Kaur

...Petitioner...

versus

Gurpinder Kaur

...Respondent...

3. CRM No.M-23710 of 2014

Gurdip Singh and others

...Petitioners...

versus

Gurpinder Kaur

...Respondent...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present : Mr. Balram Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vinay Kumar Pandey, Advocate,
for the respondent.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

By this common judgment, this Court intends to dispose of three petitions bearing CRM-M- Nos.17186, 23710 and 26035 of

SONIKA
2015.03.25 15:45
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

2014, preferred under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of criminal complaint No.241/1/11, dated 26.07.2010, captioned as ***"Gurpinder Kaur v. Pardeep Saini and others"*** and summoning order dated March 31, 2012 whereby petitioner(s) have been summoned to face trial under Sections 406, 498-A and 120-B IPC as well as all subsequent proceedings emanated from the above referred complaint.

2. During the pendency of above referred petitions, there being an element of settlement between the parties, matter was referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, which was registered as Mediation Case No. 76 of 2015 in CRM Nos. M-17186 and 26035 of 2014. With the intervention of Mediation and Conciliation Centre, parties have arrived at a compromise, which has been reduced in writing and signed by parties to the petitions.

3. As per the contents of settlement/agreement dated March 12, 2015, complainant-party agreed to quash complaint, which is a subject matter of these petitions.

4. Since, it is a matrimonial dispute and has been set at rest by way of settlement/agreement referred to above, petitions referred to above in paragraph No.1 of this judgment are accepted and complaint No. 241/1/11, dated 26.07.2010 and summoning order dated 31.03.2012 as well as all subsequent proceedings arising out of the said complaint are quashed qua petitioner(s). However, it is made clear that if either of the parties resiles or reverts back to the terms and conditions of compromise, other party shall be at liberty to

SONIKA
2015.03.25 15:45
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

revive these petitions or to file a fresh petition for redressal of its grievances.

March 23, 2015 **(JASPAL SINGH)**
sonika **JUDGE**

SONIKA
2015.03.25 15:45
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh