

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-17064 of 2015
Date of Decision: 10.08.2015**

Ravinder Yadav @ Ravi

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Navneet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Kapil Aggarwal, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 438 CrPC is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.368 dated 24.4.2015 under Sections 323, 498A, 406, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Gurgaon, District Gurgaon.

This Court vide order dated 25.5.2015 has granted interim bail to the petitioner subject to his joining investigation and complying with provisions of Section 438(2) CrPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that pursuant to order dated 25.5.2015 of this Court, the petitioner has joined

investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Balram, does not dispute the aforesaid fact but submits that recovery of some dowry articles is yet to be effected.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the marriage between the petitioner and the complainant took place on 14.1.2004 and it was third marriage for both the parties, coupled with the fact that the mother-in-law of the complainant has already been found innocent by the police during investigation, I deem it appropriate to allow the present petition. Accordingly, the interim order dated 25.5.2015 passed by this Court is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall continue to join investigation as and when required to do so and shall abide by the terms and conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) CrPC.

Needless to say that, nothing observed here-in-above shall be construed as an expression on the merits of the main case, in any manner, as the same has been so recorded for a limited purpose of deciding the present petition. The trial Court is free to conclude the trial on the basis of available evidence.

August 10, 2015
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE