

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 17060 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 26.08.2015

Puneet Ummat

..... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. R.S. Rai, Sr. Advocate assisted by
Mr. Karan Pathak, Advocate alongwith the petitioner.

Mr. Mikhil Kad, AAG, Punjab
for the respondent-State alongwith I.O.-ASI Balwinder Singh.

Mr. H.S. Brar and Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocates
alongwith the complainant.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 95, dated 22.08.2014 for offences punishable under Sections 406 and 498-A of the Indian Penal Code, registered with Police Station Women Cell, Ludhiana.

At the time of hearing, learned Counsel jointly state that the parties have arrived at a full and final amicable settlement. Written compromise deed dated 26.08.2015 has been executed between the complainant-Rakhi Arora (wife) and the petitioner-Puneet Ummat (husband). The original copy of the said deed has been furnished in the Court and the same is taken on record as **Mark-A**. The parties have retained

Contd...P/2...

the photocopies of the aforesaid deed dated 26.08.2015. A photocopy of the deed has also been furnished to the Investigating Officer, who is present in the Court.

A perusal of the deed reveals that the complainant-wife shall receive a total sum of ₹ 67,50,000/- (Sixty Seven Lacs and Fifty Thousand only) to be paid in installments as mentioned in writing, towards all past, present and future claims between the parties. It has also been agreed that the parties would file a joint petition for divorce by mutual consent under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act. However, the time limit for filing such divorce petition has not been specified. Accordingly, with the consent of the parties, who are present in the Court, the said condition is modified to the extent that they would file the said divorce petition on or before 10.09.2015.

Both the parties have acknowledged that the aforesaid compromise deed has been executed without any pressure, coercion and is thus voluntary. They agreed to abide by the terms and conditions of the deed.

The complainant-wife states that in view of the compromise effected, she has no objection if the interim pre-arrest bail already granted to the petitioner/husband by this Court, vide order dated 29.05.2015, is made absolute.

Accordingly, in view of the above, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 29.05.2015, passed by this Court, is made absolute.

Contd...P/3...

However, it is clarified that the parties shall be bound by their statements *lest* they render themselves liable for being hauled up in contempt proceedings before this Court.

Disposed of.

August 26, 2015

'dk kamra'

**(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE**