

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-No.17067 of 2014(O&M)  
Date of Decision:-17.03.2015

Yogi @ Surrender  
Versus

...Petitioner

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

Present: Mr. Rakesh Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Neelam Kashyap, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)**

Prayer in the present petition filed u/s 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner who has been booked for having committed the offence punishable under Sections 148, 149, 302, 307, 323, 120/216 of IPC, Section 25 of Arms Act and Section 3 of Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribes Act, in a case arising out of FIR No. 106, dated 30.03.2013, registered at Police Station, Meham, District-Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is one of the accused out of 18 persons against whom the allegation of murder of Vikram and Sudhir, have been levelled, who died on account of bullet injuries attributed to three persons, namely, Mandeep @ Shera, Sandeep @ Bhoomi and Amit son of Satbir and grandson of Dalip. Learned counsel also submits that the petitioner has been charged only for the offence of conspiracy, as defined under Section 120-B IPC. All the accused except Mandeep @ Shera, Sandeep @ Bhoomi and Amit, son of Satbir and grandson of Dalip against whom bullet injuries are attributed, have been admitted to regular bail by this Court. He further relies upon the order passed by

this Court in *CRM-M-No. 12372 of 2014 titled as Ajay @ Tamil Vs. State of Haryana, decided on 25.04.2014, CRM-M-No. 3572 of 2014, titled as Ajay Vs. State of Haryana, and CRM-M-No. 4673 of 2014, titled as Suraj and another Vs. State of Haryana, decided on 25.03.2014, CRM-M-No. 42315 of 2013, titled as Sandeep @ Sittu Vs. State of Haryana, decided on 22.01.2014 CRM-M-No. 37851 of 2013 titled as Vikas @ Vicky Vs. State of Haryana, decided on 04.012.2013*, wherein these accused have been admitted to regular bail. He also contends that the case of the petitioner is similar to that of other co-accused referred in above criminal misc. petitions, who have been granted regular bail by this Court.

Learned State counsel, on intructions from SI Anoop Singh, Police Station, Meham, Rohtak, does not dispute the fact of grant of regular bail to the accused referred in aforesaid various Criminal Misc. Petitions.

Accordingly, considering the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, I deem it a fit case for grant of concession of regular bail to the petitioner, namely, Yogi @ Surrender.

Consequently, the petition is allowed and the petitioner, namely, Yogi @ Surrender, is ordered to be released on regular bail on furnishing of his bail bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

**17.03.2015**  
Anjal

**(HARI PAL VERMA)**  
**JUDGE**