

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.17047 of 2014**

Date of decision: 29<sup>th</sup> September, 2015

Mahaveer Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH**

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Raman Goklaney, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurveer Sidhu, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab  
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

None for respondents No.3 to 8.

**FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner faced with the query if the petitioner has availed of the remedy under the provisions of Sections 239/245 Cr.P.C. has made a statement withdrawing the present petition, however reserving rights of the petitioner to rake up all these pleas before the trial Court for discharge of the petitioner. He has further prayed that his application (Annexure P7) to this effect has never been considered by the trial Court till date.

As prayed, the petition stands dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid. The trial Court is directed to immediately consider and decide the application (Annexure P7) of the petitioner within the framework of law. However, it is made clear that the trial Court shall first decide the application before proceeding ahead into the matter.

**(FATEH DEEP SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

**September 29, 2015**  
*rps*