

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 16989 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : October 06, 2015

Beeru and another,

..... Petitioners

v.

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. RK Soni, Advocate for
Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners having been acquitted, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Additional report by way of affidavit of Sh. Suresh Kaushik, DSP, Railways, Hqrs Ambala has been filed, pursuant to the order dated 24.7.2015. As per the same, petitioner No.1 has not surrendered in the two cases in which he is a proclaimed offender. Learned DAG Haryana, on instructions from ASI Tara Chand, has accepted the fact that the petitioners were acquitted in the present case on 18.9.2015 and on that date, petitioner No.1 was present in the Court. Let a further affidavit be filed to explain as

to how and in what circumstances petitioner No.1 was not arrested in other cases in which he is a proclaimed offender even though he appeared in the Court in the present case on 18.9.2015.

This petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous. However, for the purpose of filing affidavit in the above terms, the matter be listed on 17.11.2015.

October 06, 2015
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE