

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.2141 of 2011 (O&M)

Date of decision: 6th July, 2015

Piara Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Ishwar Chand

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. M.S. Rakkar, Senior Advocate with
Mr. A.S. Syan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

It has been stated at bar by learned counsel for the petitioner that the complaint filed by the present petitioner against the respondent whereby he has been summoned under Sections 468, 471 IPC as well as the complaint out of which the instant petition has arisen, are pending in different Courts at Patiala.

In view of the fact that out of the same very documents, two different pleas, one by the complainant in the main complaint and the other by the accused in the subsequent complaint have come up, it will be in the fitness of things if necessary directions are issued to

both the courts dealing with these complaints to ensure that both the complaints are tried together.

To facilitate this, the District & Sessions Judge, Patiala is directed to transfer both the complaints before the same Court in case they are pending before different courts.

The present petition stands disposed off accordingly.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

July 6, 2015
rps