

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision : 01.09.2015

1) C.R.M-M No.16892 of 2015

Raj Kumar and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

2) C.R.M-M No.19092 of 2015

Jasvir Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. M.K. Garg, Advocate
for the petitioners (in CRM-M-16892-2015)

Mr. H.N. Mehtani, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-19092-2015)

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Digvijay Nagpal, Advocate
for the complainant.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

These are two petitions filed by the petitioners for grant of
anticipatory bail to them in case F.I.R. No.81 dated 09.07.2014 registered

under Sections 420, 120-B IPC at Police Station Raikot, District Ludhiana.

Both the petitions are decided by this common order since the facts are same.

With the intervention of the learned counsel, the dispute qua these petitioners seems to have been settled.

Learned counsel for the complainant on instructions from the son of the complainant, who is present in Court, states that as regards Raj Kumar & Jaswinder Kaur, a sum of Rs.1,50,000/- has been paid and in case they pay another amount of Rs.1,50,000/- within a period of two months, they would have no objection in getting the FIR quashed qua them. Likewise as regards Jasvir Singh, the learned counsel states that in case he pay a sum of Rs.50,000/- within a period of two months, they would have no objection if the FIR qua him is quashed. It has further been agreed that on the payment being made the parties shall move petition for quashing of the FIR on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel for the petitioners have accepted this offer.

In the circumstances, the petitions are conditionally allowed and the interim orders dated 21.05.2015 & 08.06.2015 are made absolute. However, in case the payments are not made as agreed, the bail would be liable to be cancelled.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

September 01, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**