

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16794-2015

Date of decision: 20.10.2015

Gurmail Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Anmol Grewal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ajay Kamboj, Advocate for
Mr. P.S. Jammu, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

Mr. B.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

Mr. Tarun Singla, Advocate
for respondents No.7 to 9.

Mr. S.S. Tiwana, Advocate
for respondent No.10.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner, by way of instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., seeks appropriate directions against the official respondents to take appropriate action against the private respondents on the basis of fact finding inquiry report conducted by the Additional Deputy Commissioner (D), Bathinda.

Notice of motion was issued and pursuant thereto, reply was filed.

Reply by way of affidavit dated 20.10.2015 filed on behalf of respondent No.5 in the Court today, is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the State submits that since appropriate departmental action has already been initiated against all the private respondents, as pointed out in para 1 of preliminary submissions of the reply filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, present petition does not survive and the same may be disposed of, as such.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner also fairly states that let the present petition be disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous, in view of the statement made by learned counsel for the State.

Ordered accordingly.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

20.10.2015
vishnu