

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-16753 of 2015

Date of decision: 16.07.2015

Pawan @ Totla

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. K.D.S. Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manoj Dhankar, AAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Satbir Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in case FIR No.23, dated 22.01.2014, registered under Sections 148, 149, 332, 353, 307 IPC and Section 8B of National Highway Act, 1986, at Police Station Mehan, District Rohtak.

It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The prime witnesses of the prosecution have not attributed any specific injury to the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 25.01.2014.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel vehemently

opposes the bail application and on instructions, states that the petitioner is a previous convict in four FIRs No. 92 dated 25.02.2005, FIR No. 401 dated 20.07.2005, FIR No. 137 dated 23.02.2012 and FIR No. 162 dated 06.07.2008.

Heard.

The petitioner who was involved in eight cases stands already convicted in four cases. Keeping in view the antecedents of the petitioner, no case for bail is made out.

Dismissed.

However, at any stage, if the learned counsel for the petitioner found the statement made by the learned State counsel to be false, he is at liberty to revive the present petition.

16.07.2015

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE