

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1678-2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: December 22, 2015

Gurmit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

- 1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?***
- 2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?***
- 3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?***

Present: Mr.Jagjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.K.S.Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.Abhinashi Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

.....

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that Ajit Singh @ Jit Singh (since deceased)/injured/aggrieved person has three legal representatives, namely, Tarlochan Singh, Rajinder Singh and Gurdev Kaur. Tarlochan Singh is respondent No.2 while the remaining are residing in England.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition be disposed of at this stage with liberty to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the present petition after the remaining legal representatives of Ajit Singh @ Jit Singh (since deceased) visit India.

Learned counsel for the respondents have no objection to the above prayer.

Ordered accordingly.

December 22, 2015
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE